

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON EVOLUTIONARY COMPUTATION

IEEE NEURAL NETWORKS SOCIETY

REVIEW FORM

Reviewer: *Please review this paper within 6 weeks so we can get a timely review back to the authors. Please write comments to author on the other side or a separate sheet of paper. Evaluate technical quality, content and, in addition, adequacy of abstract, introduction, organization, figures, and references.*

PAPER TEC# _____ REVIEWER # _____
 PAPER TITLE: _____
 REVIEWER'S NAME _____ (Please Print Clearly)
 ADDRESS _____

 EMAIL : _____ SIGNATURE _____

PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO: _____ SHOULD THIS BE CONSIDERED FOR A "BEST PAPER" AWARD? _____

Special Issue Co-Editor:
Yaochu Jin
Honda Research Institute Europe
Carl-Legien-Str. 30
63073 Offenbach/Main, Germany
Fax: 0049-69-89011749
Email: yaochu.jin@honda-ri.de



I. OVERALL EVALUATION (COMMENTS TO AUTHOR ON BACK OF THIS SHEET OR ON SEPARATE PAGE)

	Contribution	Exceptional	Significant	Minor	Questionable	None
New Results						
Significant Applications						
Survey or Tutorial						

II. OVERALL RECOMMENDATION

1. _____ **ACCEPT:** Article is acceptable for publication in its present form.
2. _____ **REVISE AND ACCEPT:** Required changes are minor and may be made by author without further review.
3. _____ **REVISE AND RESUBMIT:** Article is acceptable for publication provided that significant changes are made as indicated in the reviewer's comments. Revision will be carefully checked by the editor or associate editor to ascertain whether the changes are acceptable.
4. _____ **REJECT:**
 - _____ Article is so poorly written that contributions, if any, are obscure.
 - _____ Article is not appropriate for the IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation.
 - _____ The results are not correct.
 - _____ Article is not suitable as a tutorial for reasons given below.
 - _____ Other

III. CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS TO EDITORS

IEEE policy regarding reviewing submitted papers: (1) IEEE policy requires that referees treat the contents of papers under review as privileged information not to be disclosed to others before publication, and (2) it is expected that no one with access to a paper under review will make any inappropriate use of the special knowledge that access provides.